

Name:\_\_\_\_\_

NetID:\_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

Working directly from the definition of divides, use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

Claim:  $2^{n+2} + 3^{2n+1}$  is divisible by 7, for all natural numbers  $n$ .

**Solution:**

Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base case(s):** At  $n = 0$ ,  $2^{n+2} + 3^{2n+1} = 2^2 + 3 = 7$  which is clearly divisible by 7.

Inductive hypothesis [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]:

Suppose that  $2^{n+2} + 3^{2n+1}$  is divisible by 7, for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, k$ .

**Rest of the inductive step:**

At  $n = k + 1$ ,  $2^{n+2} + 3^{2n+1}$  is equal to  $2^{k+3} + 3^{2k+3}$ .

$$2^{k+3} + 3^{2k+3} = 2 \cdot 2^{k+2} + 9 \cdot 3^{2k+1} = 2(2^{k+2} + 3^{2k+1}) + 7(3^{2k+1})$$

By the inductive hypothesis,  $2^{k+2} + 3^{2k+1}$  is divisible by 7. So  $2(2^{k+2} + 3^{2k+1})$  is divisible by 7.  $7(3^{2k+1})$  is divisible by 7 because it contains a literal factor of 7 and the rest of the expression ( $3^{2k+1}$ ) is an integer. So the sum of these two terms must be divisible by 7.

Thus,  $2^{k+3} + 3^{2k+3}$  is divisible by 7, which is what we needed to show.

Name:\_\_\_\_\_

NetID:\_\_\_\_\_

Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

If  $f$  is a function, recall that  $f'$  is its derivative. Recall the product rule: if  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ , then  $f'(x) = g'(x)h(x) + g(x)h'(x)$ . Assume we know that the derivative of  $f(x) = x$  is  $f'(x) = 1$ .

Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

For any positive integer  $n$ , if  $f(x) = x^n$  then  $f'(x) = nx^{n-1}$ .

**Solution:** Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base case(s):**  $n = 1$ . Then  $f(x) = x$ . So  $f'(x) = 1$ . But also  $nx^{n-1} = 1 \cdot x^0 = 1$ . So the claim holds.

**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]: Suppose that if  $f(x) = x^n$  then  $f'(x) = nx^{n-1}$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, k$ .

**Rest of the inductive step:** Suppose that  $f(x) = x^{k+1}$ . Let  $g(x) = x$  and  $h(x) = x^k$ . By the product rule  $f'(x) = g'(x)h(x) + g(x)h'(x)$ .

Since  $g(x) = x$ , we know that  $g'(x) = 1$ . By the inductive hypothesis we know that  $h'(x) = kx^{k-1}$ .

So  $f'(x) = g'(x)h(x) + g(x)h'(x) = 1 \cdot x^k + x \cdot kx^{k-1}$ . Simplifying, we get  $f'(x) = x^k + kx^k = (1+k)x^k$ . So  $f'(x) = (1+k)x^k$ , which is what we needed to show.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

NetID: \_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

For any natural number  $n$ ,  $\sum_{p=0}^n 3(-1/2)^p = 2 + (-1/2)^n$

**Solution:** Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base case(s):** At  $n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{p=0}^n 3(-1/2)^p = 3 \cdot (-1/2)^0 = 3$  and  $2 + (-1/2)^n = 2 + (-1/2)^0 = 2 + 1 = 3$ .

So the equation holds.

**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]:

Suppose that  $\sum_{p=0}^n 3(-1/2)^p = 2 + (-1/2)^n$  for  $n = 0, \dots, k$ .

**Rest of the inductive step:** From the inductive hypothesis,  $\sum_{p=0}^k 3(-1/2)^p = 2 + (-1/2)^k$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=0}^{k+1} 3(-1/2)^p &= \left( \sum_{p=0}^k 3(-1/2)^p \right) + 3(-1/2)^{k+1} \\ &= (2 + (-1/2)^k) + 3(-1/2)^{k+1} = 2 - 2(-1/2)^{k+1} + 3(-1/2)^{k+1} \\ &= 2 + (-1/2)^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

So  $\sum_{p=0}^{k+1} 3(-1/2)^p = 2 + (-1/2)^{k+1}$ , which is what we needed to show.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

NetID: \_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

For all natural numbers  $n$ ,  $\sum_{p=0}^n (2p+1)^2 = \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)(2n+3)}{3}$

**Solution:** Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base case(s):** At  $n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{p=1}^n (2p+1)^2 = 1^2 = 1$  and  $\frac{(n+1)(2n+1)(2n+3)}{3} = \frac{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3}{3} = 1$ . So the equation holds.

**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]:

$$\sum_{p=0}^n (2p+1)^2 = \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)(2n+3)}{3} \text{ for } n = 0, \dots, k.$$

**Rest of the inductive step:** From the inductive hypothesis, we know that

$$\sum_{p=0}^k (2p+1)^2 = \frac{(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)}{3}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=0}^{k+1} (2p+1)^2 &= \left( \sum_{p=0}^k (2p+1)^2 \right) + (2(k+1)+1)^2 = \frac{(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)}{3} + (2(k+1)+1)^2 \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)}{3} + (2k+3)^2 = (2k+3) \frac{(k+1)(2k+1) + 3(2k+3)}{3} \\ &= (2k+3) \frac{(2k^2 + 3k + 1) + (6k + 9)}{3} = (2k+3) \frac{2k^2 + 9k + 10}{3} = \frac{(k+2)(2k+3)(2k+5)}{3} \end{aligned}$$

So  $\sum_{p=0}^{k+1} (2p+1)^2 = \frac{(k+2)(2k+3)(2k+5)}{3}$ , which is what we needed to show

Name:\_\_\_\_\_

NetID:\_\_\_\_\_

Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

Let's say that a set of polygonal regions in the plane is "properly colored" if regions sharing an edge never have the same color.

Suppose that we draw  $n$  lines in the plane, in general position (no lines are parallel, no point belongs to more than two lines). The lines divide up the plane into a set of regions. Use (strong) induction to prove that, for any positive integer  $n$ , this set of regions can be properly colored with two colors.

**Solution:** Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base case(s):** For  $n = 1$ , there is exactly one line dividing the plane. We can color one side of it red and the other side green.

**Inductive hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]: Suppose that the set of regions formed by  $n$  lines can be properly colored with two colors, for  $n = 1, \dots, k$ .

**Rest of the inductive step:**

Suppose that we are given  $k+1$  lines in general position. Pick an arbitrary line  $L$  and remove it. By the inductive hypothesis, we can find a coloring for the regions formed by the remaining lines in which adjacent regions always have different colors.

Now, add  $L$  back (keeping the regions colored). Swap the two colors on the regions to one side of  $L$ . Then

- Regions on the un-altered side of  $L$  have the colors they had before, so adjacent regions on this side have different colors.
- Regions on the altered side of  $L$  have exactly the opposite colors they had before, so adjacent regions on this side have different colors.
- Adjacent regions with  $L$  as their common boundary now have different colors, because one has its original color and the other has had its color swapped.

So we have a proper coloring of the regions formed by our set of  $k + 1$  lines.

[OK if you used pictures to help explain the construction in the inductive step.]

Name:\_\_\_\_\_

NetID:\_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

Claim: For all integers  $a, b, n, n \geq 1$ , if  $a \equiv b \pmod{7}$  then  $a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{7}$ .

Use this definition in your proof:  $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $x = y + kp$  for some integer  $k$ .

**Solution:**

Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base case(s):** At  $n = 1$ , our claim becomes “if  $a \equiv b \pmod{7}$  then  $a \equiv b \pmod{7}$ ” which is clearly true.

**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don’t just refer to “the claim”]: Suppose that if  $a \equiv b \pmod{7}$  then  $a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{7}$ , for all integers  $a, b, n$ , where  $n = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$a$  and  $b$  need to be introduced at some point in this proof, but there’s several places you might do this. For example, you could say “let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers” right at the start. Then your inductive hypothesis would just be “if  $a \equiv b \pmod{7}$  then  $a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{7}$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, k$ .” We won’t get picky about this when grading.

**Rest of the inductive step:**

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers.

Suppose that  $a \equiv b \pmod{7}$ . then  $a = b + 7p$  for some integer  $p$ .

From the inductive hypothesis, we know that  $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{7}$ , So  $a^k = b^k + 7q$  for some integer  $q$ .

Combining these two equations, we get that

$$a^{k+1} = (b + 7p)(b^k + 7q) = b^{k+1} + 7(pb^k + bq + 7pq)$$

$pb^k + bq + 7pq$  is an integer since  $p, q$ , and  $b$  are integers. So we know that  $a^{k+1} \equiv b^{k+1} \pmod{7}$ , which is what we needed to prove.