

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

NetID: \_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

(15 points) The operator  $\prod$  is like  $\sum$  except that it multiplies its terms rather than adding them. So e.g.  $\prod_{p=3}^5 (p+1) = 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6$ . Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

Claim: For any positive integer  $n$  and any positive reals  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ,

$$\prod_{p=1}^n (1 + a_p) \geq 1 + \sum_{p=1}^n a_p$$

**Solution:**

Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base Case(s):** At  $n = 1$ ,  $\prod_{p=1}^n (1 + a_p) = 1 + a_1$  and  $1 + \sum_{p=1}^n a_p = 1 + a_1$  so  $\prod_{p=1}^n (1 + a_p) \geq 1 + \sum_{p=1}^n a_p$ .

**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]: Suppose that  $\prod_{p=1}^n (1 + a_p) \geq 1 + \sum_{p=1}^n a_p$  for  $n = 1, \dots, k$  and any positive real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

**Inductive Step:** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}$  be positive real numbers. By the inductive hypothesis, we know that  $\prod_{p=1}^k (1 + a_p) \geq 1 + \sum_{p=1}^k a_p$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{p=1}^{k+1} (1 + a_p) &= (1 + a_{k+1}) \prod_{p=1}^k (1 + a_p) \\ &\geq (1 + a_{k+1}) \left( 1 + \sum_{p=1}^k a_p \right) = 1 + a_{k+1} + a_{k+1} \sum_{p=1}^k a_p + \sum_{p=1}^k a_p \\ &\geq 1 + a_{k+1} + \sum_{p=1}^k a_p \quad \text{because all values } a_p \text{ are positive} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} a_p \end{aligned}$$

So  $\prod_{p=1}^{k+1} (1 + a_p) \geq 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} a_p$ , which is what we needed to show.

Name:\_\_\_\_\_

NetID:\_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

(15 points) Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

Claim: For all integers  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(2n)! > 2^n n!$ **Solution:**Proof by induction on  $n$ .**Base Case(s):** At  $n = 2$ ,  $(2n)! = 4! = 24$ .  $2^n n! = 4 \cdot 2 = 8$ . So  $(2n)! > 2^n n!$ **Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]:Suppose that  $(2n)! > 2^n n!$  for all  $n = 2, 3, \dots, k$  for some integer  $k \geq 2$ .**Inductive Step:** Notice that  $2k + 1 \geq 1$  because  $k$  is positive. And  $(2k)! > 2^k k!$  by the induction hypothesis.

So then

$$(2(k+1))! = (2k+2)(2k+1)(2k)! \geq (2k+2)(2k)! > (2k+2)(2^k k!) = (k+1)2^{k+1}k! = 2^{k+1}(k+1)!.$$

So  $(2(k+1))! > 2^{k+1}(k+1)!$  which is what we needed to show.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

NetID: \_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

(15 points) Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

Claim: For any sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ ,  $|A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n| \leq |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_n|$ **Solution:**Proof by induction on  $n$ .**Base Case(s):** At  $n = 1$  the claim reduces to  $|A_1| \leq |A_1|$ , which is clearly true.**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]:Suppose that  $|A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n| \leq |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_n|$ , for any sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .**Inductive Step:** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k+1}$  be sets. Let  $S = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k$ .We know that  $|S \cup A_{k+1}| = |S| + |A_{k+1}| - |S \cap A_{k+1}|$  by the Inclusion-Exclusion formula. So  $|S \cup A_{k+1}| \leq |S| + |A_{k+1}|$  because  $|S \cap A_{k+1}|$  cannot be negative.By the inductive hypothesis  $|S| = |A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k| \leq |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_k|$ .So  $|A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{k+1}| = |S \cup A_{k+1}| \leq |S| + |A_{k+1}| \leq (|A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_k|) + |A_{k+1}|$ .So  $|A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{k+1}| \leq |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_{k+1}|$ , which is what we needed to prove.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

NetID: \_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

(15 points) Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim. You may use the fact that  $\sqrt{2} \leq 1.5$ .

Claim: For any positive integer  $n$ ,  $\sum_{p=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \geq 2\sqrt{n+1} - 2$ .

**Solution:**Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base Case(s):** At  $n = 1$ ,  $\sum_{p=1}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} = 1$ . Also  $2\sqrt{n+1} - 2 = 2\sqrt{2} - 2 \leq 2 \cdot 1.5 - 2 = 1$ . So the claim holds.

**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]: Suppose that  $\sum_{p=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \geq 2\sqrt{n+1} - 2$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

**Inductive Step:** First, notice that  $(\sqrt{k+1} - \sqrt{k+2})^2 \geq 0$ . Multiplying this out gives us  $(k+1) - 2\sqrt{k+1}\sqrt{k+2} + (k+2) \geq 0$ . So  $2k+3 \geq 2\sqrt{k+1}\sqrt{k+2}$ .

From the inductive hypothesis, we know that  $\sum_{p=1}^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \geq 2\sqrt{k+1} - 2$ . So then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} + \sum_{p=1}^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} + 2\sqrt{k+1} - 2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} + \frac{2(k+1)}{\sqrt{k+1}} - 2 = \frac{1+2(k+1)}{\sqrt{k+1}} - 2 = \frac{2k+3}{\sqrt{k+1}} - 2 \\
 &\geq \frac{2\sqrt{k+1}\sqrt{k+2}}{\sqrt{k+1}} - 2 = 2\sqrt{k+2} - 2
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\sum_{p=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \geq 2\sqrt{k+2} - 2$ , which is what we needed to show.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

NetID: \_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

(15 points) Let function  $f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be defined by

$$f(1) = 0$$

$$f(n) = 1 + f(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor), \text{ for } n \geq 2,$$

Use (strong) induction on  $n$  to prove that  $f(n) \leq \log_2 n$  for any positive integer  $n$ . You cannot assume that  $n$  is a power of 2. However, you can assume that the log function is increasing (if  $x \leq y$  then  $\log x \leq \log y$ ) and that  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$ .

**Solution:**Proof by induction on  $n$ .**Base Case(s):**

$$f(1) = 0 \text{ and } \log_2 1 = 0 \text{ So } f(1) \leq \log_2 1.$$

**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]:Suppose that  $f(n) \leq \log_2 n$  for  $n = 1, \dots, k-1$ .**Inductive Step:**

We can assume that  $k \geq 2$  (since we did  $n = 1$  for the base case). So  $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$  must be at least 1 and less than  $k$ . Therefore, by the inductive hypothesis,  $f(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor) \leq \log_2(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor)$ .

We know that  $f(k) = 1 + f(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor)$ , by the definition of  $f$ . Substituting the result of the previous paragraph, we get that  $f(k) \leq 1 + \log_2(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor)$ .

$$\lfloor k/2 \rfloor \leq k/2. \text{ So } \log_2(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor) \leq \log_2(k/2) = (\log_2 k) + (\log_2 1/2) = (\log_2 k) - 1.$$

Since  $f(k) \leq 1 + \log_2(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor)$  and  $\log_2(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor) \leq (\log_2 k) - 1$ ,  $f(k) \leq 1 + (\log_2 k) - 1 = (\log_2 k)$ . This is what we needed to show.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

NetID: \_\_\_\_\_ Lecture:    A    B

Discussion:    Thursday    Friday    9    10    11    12    1    2    3    4    5    6

(15 points) The operator  $\prod$  is like  $\sum$  except that it multiplies its terms rather than adding them. So e.g.  $\prod_{p=3}^5 (p+1) = 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6$ . Use (strong) induction to prove the following claim:

Claim: For any positive integer  $n$ , and any positive reals  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ,

$$\prod_{p=1}^n (1 - a_p) \geq 1 - \sum_{p=1}^n a_p$$

**Solution:**

This problem should have also required that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be  $\leq 1$ . This shouldn't have a major impact on grading because it looks like many folks assumed the critical step would work.

Proof by induction on  $n$ .

**Base Case(s):** At  $n = 1$ ,  $\prod_{p=1}^n (1 - a_p) = 1 - a_1$  and  $1 - \sum_{p=1}^n a_p = 1 - a_1$  so  $\prod_{p=1}^n (1 - a_p) \geq 1 - \sum_{p=1}^n a_p$ .

**Inductive Hypothesis** [Be specific, don't just refer to "the claim"]: Suppose that  $\prod_{p=1}^n (1 - a_p) \geq 1 - \sum_{p=1}^n a_p$  for  $n = 1, \dots, k$  and any real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  between 0 and 1 (inclusive).

**Inductive Step:** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}$  be real numbers between 0 and 1 (inclusive). By the inductive hypothesis, we know that  $\prod_{p=1}^k (1 - a_p) \geq 1 - \sum_{p=1}^k a_p$ . Since  $(1 - a_{k+1})$  is positive, this means that  $(1 - a_{k+1}) \prod_{p=1}^k (1 - a_p) \geq (1 - a_{k+1})(1 - \sum_{p=1}^k a_p)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{p=1}^{k+1} (1 - a_p) &= (1 - a_{k+1}) \prod_{p=1}^k (1 - a_p) \\ &\geq (1 - a_{k+1}) \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^k a_p\right) = 1 - a_{k+1} + a_{k+1} \sum_{p=1}^k a_p - \sum_{p=1}^k a_p \\ &\geq 1 - a_{k+1} - \sum_{p=1}^k a_p \quad \text{because all values } a_p \text{ are positive} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} a_p \end{aligned}$$

So  $\prod_{p=1}^{k+1} (1 - a_p) \geq 1 - \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} a_p$ , which is what we needed to show.